\& Coulson, 1975). The average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in the benzene ring is $1.38 \AA$ and the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ length is $0.94 \AA$. The C(7)-C(8) bond is $1.48 \AA$, which is shorter than the $1.517 \AA$ reported for the 2,4 -di- and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acids (Smith et al., 1976a, $b$ ), but a similar shortening of the $C(7)-C(8)$ bond has been observed in 2 -chlorophenoxyacetic acid (Chandrasekhar \& Pattabhi, 1977). The nearly equal lengths of the two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds [1-271 (12) and 1.250 (6) $\AA$ ] and the smaller difference in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ angles [115.5(1.1) and $119.6(1.0)^{\circ}$ ] in the carboxyl group


Fig. 2. Packing of the molecules in the unit cell viewed perpendicular to the ac plane, showing some intermolecular distances ( $\AA$ ). E.s.d.'s in the distances are $0.01 \AA$.
may be attributed to the disorder of the carboxyl group. Similar disorder has been observed in the carboxyl group of $\beta$-chloro-cis-cinnamic acid (Filippakis, Leiserowitz, Rabinovich \& Schmidt, 1972).
The equations of the least-squares planes (1) through $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1),(2)$ through $\mathrm{C}(1)$ to $\mathrm{C}(6)$, and (3) through $\mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{O}(4)$ and $\mathrm{O}(5)$, are
(1) $0.5032 X-0.8612 Y-0.0718 Z=0.1779$,
(2) $0.4457 X-0.8944 Y-0.0370 Z=0.1785$,
(3) $-0.4641 X+0.8840 Y-0.0563 Z=0.6450$.

The $\mathrm{N}(1)$ atom is in the plane of the benzene ring and the unsymmetrical nitro group is strictly planar; the dihedral angle between these two planes is $4.3^{\circ}$. The planar acetic acid group makes an angle of $5.5^{\circ}$ with the ring plane, which is very similar to those of many substituted phenoxyacetic acids (Smith et al., 1976b). The packing of these dimeric molecules is shown in Fig. 2 , along with principal intermolecular distances.
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#### Abstract

C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / b, Z=4, a=$ 6.106 (4), $b=7.743$ (4), $c=18.968$ (7) $\AA, \gamma=$ $99.46(5)^{\circ}, D_{c}=1.338 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ at 123 K . The sulfite ring adopts a chair conformation with the tert-butyl group in equatorial and the $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ group in axial


 0567-7408/80/051220-03\$01.00position. The conformation confirms the interpretation of IR and NMR spectra.

Introduction. Substituted cyclic sulfites are very well suited for the study of the influence of the anomeric © 1980 International Union of Crystallography
effect on the geometry of molecules, and a number of structure determinations are available: trimethylene sulfite (Altona, Geise \& Romers, 1966); 2,2'-dichlorotrimethylene sulfite (Van Oyen, Hasekamp, Verschoor \& Romers, 1968); dihydrofukinolidol sulfite, isomers (I) and (II) (Furusaki \& Watanabe, 1972); 17 $\beta$ -acetoxy-2,4-dioxa-3-thia-5 $\alpha$-androstan-3-one (Duax, Griffin \& Wolff, 1976); trans-5-chloro-cis-4,trans-6-di-tert-butyl-1,3,2-dioxathiane 2 -oxide (Carbonelle, Jeannin \& Robert, 1978); cis,cis-4,6-dimethyl-1,3,2dioxathiane 2 -oxide (Petit, Lenstra \& Geise, 1978); cis-1,1,3-trimethyltrimethylene sulfite (Petit, Lenstra, Van de Mieroop, Geise \& Hellier, 1978); 2-methyl-2nitrotrimethylene sulfite (Petit, Lenstra, Geise \& Swepston, 1980); and 4,4-dimethyl-6,6-diphenyl-1,3,2dioxathiane 2 -oxide (Petit, Lenstra \& Geise, 1980).

In continuation of this research the crystal structure of the title compound (abbreviated as BDO) has been undertaken. BDO (m.p. 320 K ) was synthesized by Phillips (1978) and D. G. Hellier. Suitable single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of an ether-petroleum ether ( $50 / 50$ ) solution at 248 K .

Reflections were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. A total of 2133 independent reflections, up to $\theta=28^{\circ}$, were

Table 1. Positional parameters of BDO in fractions of
the cell edges
The e.s.d.'s (given in parentheses) refer to the last significant digit. Isotropic temperature factors ( $\AA^{2}$ ) are calculated from anisotropic temperature parameters, equal volume of the $50 \%$ probability region being assumed. All anisotropic thermal ellipsoids were physically acceptable. Hydrogen atom $\mathbf{H}(x j)(j=1,2,3)$ is attached to atom $x$.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {iso }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(1) | $0 \cdot 1195$ (2) | 0.3391 (2) | 0.42748 (8) | 1.77 |
| S(2) | -0.07360 (8) | 0.28886 (6) | $0 \cdot 37080$ (3) | 1.78 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.0498 (2) | 0.3805 (2) | 0.30222 (8) | 1.78 |
| C(4) | $0 \cdot 1356$ (3) | 0.5685 (2) | $0 \cdot 3090$ (1) | 1.61 |
| C(5) | 0.3103 (3) | 0.5980 (2) | 0.3661 (1) | 1.30 |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 2083$ (3) | 0.5266 (2) | 0.4349 (1) | 1.64 |
| C(7) | 0.4249 (3) | 0.7922 (2) | 0.3720 (1) | 1.43 |
| C(8) | 0.5477 (3) | 0.8434 (3) | $0 \cdot 3028$ (1) | 2.05 |
| C(9) | 0.2574 (3) | 0.9159 (3) | 0.3856 (1) | 2.03 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.5949 (3) | 0.8098 (3) | 0.4318 (1) | 1.97 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | -0.2518 (2) | $0 \cdot 3827$ (2) | 0.38843 (8) | 2.28 |
| H(41) | $0 \cdot 188$ (3) | 0.602 (3) | $0 \cdot 261$ (1) | 3.00 |
| H(42) | 0.012 (3) | 0.626 (3) | 0.317 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(51) | 0.426 (3) | 0.528 (3) | 0.353 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(61) | 0.085 (3) | 0.586 (3) | 0.448 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(62) | 0.310 (3) | 0.529 (3) | 0.471 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(81) | 0.441 (3) | 0.839 (3) | 0.259 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(82) | 0.654 (3) | 0.759 (3) | $0 \cdot 290$ (1) | 3.00 |
| H(83) | 0.629 (3) | 0.956 (3) | $0 \cdot 303$ (1) | 3.00 |
| H(91) | 0.177 (3) | 0.886 (3) | 0.429 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(92) | 0.147 (3) | 0.912 (3) | 0.346 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(93) | 0.337 (3) | 1.039 (3) | 0.389 (1) | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{H}(101)$ | 0.694 (3) | 0.722 (3) | 0.426 (1) | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{H}(102)$ | 0.693 (3) | 0.931 (3) | 0.429 (1) | 3.00 |
| H(103) | 0.535 (4) | 0.792 (3) | 0.479 (1) | 3.00 |



Fig. 1. Numbering of atoms and conformation of the molecule. The direction of view is perpendicular to a plane through $\mathrm{S}(2), \mathrm{C}(5)$, $\mathrm{C}(7)$.


Fig. 2. The packing of BDO.
collected in an $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan mode. Since the crystals rapidly sublime at room temperature the measurements were performed at 123 K . In view of the small size of the crystal $(0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm})$ and the low absorption coefficient ( $\mu=0.321 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ for Mo $K \alpha$ ) no absorption correction was applied.

A set of 1820 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ was used in the analysis. The structure was solved by conventional Patterson and (difference) Fourier techniques. The isotropic temperature parameters of the H atoms were fixed at $B_{\text {iso }}=3.0 \AA^{2}$ during the least-squares refinement. A weighting scheme based on counting statistics was used. No extinction correction was applied. The weighted $R$ value converged to 0.042 for observed reflections. $\dagger$ The positional parameters are presented in Table 1, the numbering of the atoms is given in Fig. 1, and Fig. 2 shows the contents of the unit cell.

Discussion. The sulfite ring is in the chair form with the tert-butyl group in equatorial and the $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ in axial position. This conformation was predicted on the basis of IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

The stabilization of an axial $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ group is due to the anomeric effect, and can be explained as a 'back donation' from O lone-pair electrons into an antibonding $\sigma^{*}$ or $\pi^{*}$ level (Jeffrey, Pople \& Radom, 1972). Spatial requirements for the interaction are such that
$\dagger$ Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35157 ( 10 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
the conformer with the largest number of lone pairs in antiperiplanar position to electronegative groups is the most stable (Fig. 3). A tert-butyl group tends to be in an equatorial position and therefore cooperates to obtain the conformation of Fig. 3(a).

Bond lengths and angles are presented in Tables 2 and 3 respectively.

Their values are normal compared with those of other cyclic sulfites (Petit, Lenstra \& Geise, 1978). One should note that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are significantly longer ( $1.47 \pm 0.01 \AA$ ) than those normally found in ethers and alcohols (1.43-1.44 $\AA$ ). Such elongations are to be expected as a result of anomeric delocalization (Jeffrey, Pople, Binkley \& Vishveshwara, 1978).

Ring torsion angles are listed in Table 4. Clearly, the most puckered side of the ring occurs near $C(5)$, which

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.596(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.609(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)=\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $1.443(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.471(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.469(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.511(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.512(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.554(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.532(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.534(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.528(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | $0.99(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $0.95(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(61)$ | $0.97(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(62)$ | $0.93(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5)-\mathrm{H}(51)$ | $0.99(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(81)$ | $1.06(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(82)$ | $1.02(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(83)$ | $0.93(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(91)$ | $0.97(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(92)$ | $1.00(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(93)$ | $1.00(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(101)$ | $0.99(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(102)$ | $1.03(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(103)$ | $0.97(3)$ |

Table 3. Bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $116 \cdot 3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $112 \cdot 6(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $99 \cdot 4(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110 \cdot 0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $108 \cdot 0(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $108 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $107 \cdot 6(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $112 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $115 \cdot 6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $109 \cdot 4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109 \cdot 9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $109 \cdot 3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $109 \cdot 0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $108 \cdot 4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $113 \cdot 1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $109 \cdot 5(2)$ |


(a)

(b)

Fig. 3. Newman projections along $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ for a sulfite with $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ in $(a)$ axial and (b) equatorial positions.

Table 4. Ring torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-55.9(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $62.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-59.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $58.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $-61.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $55.5(5)$ |

is the normal situation for cyclic sulfites with an axial $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ group (Petit, Lenstra \& Geise, 1978).
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